

Beyond Transportation: Psychological Motivations Behind Luxury Car Purchase Intention Among High-Income Consumers

Abu Huzaifah Che Soho¹, Anson Leow Pei Iu², Nick Chong Woei Chyang³, Andy Chan Hsi Ming⁴, Elaine Yeap Suan Sim⁵

¹ Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

² Monash University Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

³ University of Wilmington, New Castle, United Kingdom

⁴ MAIWP International University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

⁵ Dekairos Academy, Selangor, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

This study examines psychological motivations associated with luxury car purchase intention among high-income consumers, focusing on status motivation, self-identity/self-expression, emotional gratification, brand prestige/brand equity, and exclusivity. A quantitative cross-sectional survey design is specified for 453 respondents, comprising 253 females and 200 males. The study proposes a structured questionnaire using four five-point Likert items for each construct and models Purchase Intention as the dependent construct. The analysis framework includes descriptive statistics, internal-consistency reliability, construct-validity diagnostics, Pearson correlations, multicollinearity assessment, multiple regression, hypothesis testing, and supplementary gender comparisons. Because the supplied numerical analysis is based on a synthetic/dummy dataset rather than verified respondent-level observations, the reported coefficients are presented only as a statistical workflow demonstration. The demonstration produced positive associations between all five predictors and Purchase Intention and an R^2 of .263. These figures should not be interpreted as empirical evidence about the target population. The manuscript provides a structured basis for replacing the demonstration results with analyses of the actual 453-response dataset before journal submission.

KEYWORDS: luxury cars; purchase intention; status motivation; self-identity; emotional gratification; brand prestige; exclusivity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The luxury automobile market extends beyond functional transportation and is frequently associated with status, identity, emotional reward and symbolic consumption. Among high-income consumers, luxury vehicles can represent social achievement, personal identity and lifestyle aspirations. This makes luxury-car purchase intention an important consumer-behaviour question, particularly when the decision is considered from psychological rather than purely utilitarian perspectives.

The present study focuses on five psychological dimensions: Status Motivation, Self-Identity/Self-Expression, Emotional Gratification, Brand Prestige/Brand Equity, and Exclusivity. The model examines whether these dimensions are associated with consumers' intention to purchase a luxury automobile. The study responds to a literature gap concerning the psychological motivations that may be distinctive or especially salient among consumers with substantial purchasing capacity.

The research contributes by bringing symbolic, affective and prestige-related motives into a single explanatory framework. It also specifies a quantitative methodology and an analysis workflow that can be applied to the confirmed sample of 453 respondents. The original manuscript referred to mixed methods and interviews; however, the supplied respondent information supports a survey-based quantitative design. The final empirical article should therefore be aligned with the actual data-collection procedure.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Luxury consumption and psychological motivation

Luxury consumption has increasingly been interpreted as a multidimensional phenomenon in which consumers seek meanings that extend beyond functional product performance. The literature reviewed in the source manuscript links luxury consumption with social recognition, self-concept, emotional gratification, brand attachment and exclusivity. Luxury automobiles can consequently function as visible symbols through which consumers communicate aspects of identity and social position.

2.2. Status motivation

Status motivation refers to the desire to obtain products that communicate success, wealth or social standing. Luxury automobiles are particularly relevant to status signalling because ownership is visible in social environments and can convey symbolic information about the owner. Prior work cited in the source manuscript associates luxury consumption with status enhancement and social recognition. Accordingly:

H1: Status Motivation positively influences luxury car Purchase Intention.

2.3. Self-identity and self-expression

Self-identity/self-expression concerns the extent to which consumers use consumption choices to express personality, desired identity and lifestyle. Luxury vehicles may be selected because their design, brand associations and social meanings are congruent with the consumer's self-concept. The study therefore proposes:

H2: Self-Identity/Self-Expression positively influences luxury car Purchase Intention.

2.4. Emotional gratification

Emotional gratification represents pleasure, pride, achievement and personal reward associated with luxury consumption. Luxury ownership may provide hedonic benefits that complement functional utility. Research reviewed in the source article suggests that emotional responses and experiential value are relevant to luxury purchase decisions. The proposed relationship is:

H3: Emotional Gratification positively influences luxury car Purchase Intention.

2.5. Brand prestige and brand equity

Brand prestige/brand equity reflects the reputation, heritage, perceived superiority and symbolic value associated with a luxury marque. A prestigious brand can reduce uncertainty, strengthen desirability and provide social meaning. Accordingly:

H4: Brand Prestige/Brand Equity positively influences luxury car Purchase Intention.

2.6. Exclusivity

Exclusivity refers to perceived rarity, uniqueness and differentiation. Consumers may value products that are difficult to access or that distinguish them from mainstream consumption. In luxury markets, exclusivity can reinforce prestige and social differentiation. The study therefore proposes:

H5: Perceived Exclusivity positively influences luxury car Purchase Intention.

Table 1. Proposed Conceptual Relationships

Predictor	Direction	Dependent variable
Status Motivation	Positive →	Purchase Intention
Self-Identity/Self-Expression	Positive →	Purchase Intention
Emotional Gratification	Positive →	Purchase Intention
Brand Prestige/Brand Equity	Positive →	Purchase Intention
Exclusivity	Positive →	Purchase Intention

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional explanatory design. The design is appropriate for examining the magnitude and direction of relationships between psychological constructs and purchase intention at a single point in time.

3.2. Population, Sampling and Sample

The target population comprises high-income adult consumers with sufficient purchasing power and familiarity with premium or luxury automobiles. Purposive sampling is appropriate because respondents must meet specific

high-income and luxury-car-related screening criteria. The confirmed sample comprises 453 usable responses: 253 females (55.85%) and 200 males (44.15%). The exact income threshold and geographic coverage should be inserted from the actual data-collection protocol before submission.

Table 2. Confirmed Sample Composition

Gender	Frequency	Percentage	Valid N
Female	253	55.85%	453
Male	200	44.15%	453
Total	453	100.00%	453

3.3. Research Instrument and Operationalisation

The questionnaire is structured into screening/demographic information, psychological motivation constructs and luxury-car purchase intention. The supplied synthetic analysis operationalises each construct with four five-point Likert items. Status Motivation captures signalling of success and social standing; Self-Identity/Self-Expression captures identity and lifestyle congruence; Emotional Gratification captures pleasure, pride and personal reward; Brand Prestige/Brand Equity captures reputation and symbolic value; Exclusivity captures rarity and differentiation; and Purchase Intention captures willingness or planned intention to acquire a luxury vehicle.

3.4. Data Analysis

The proposed analysis consists of respondent profiling, descriptive statistics, internal-consistency reliability, construct-validity diagnostics, Pearson correlation analysis, multicollinearity assessment using variance inflation factors (VIF), multiple regression, hypothesis testing and supplementary gender comparisons. The supplied demonstration uses component-based loading, composite reliability (CR) and average variance extracted (AVE) as screening diagnostics. These statistics must not be described as CFA estimates. A genuine CFA/SEM analysis should be conducted if the final manuscript claims confirmatory factor analysis or structural-equation modelling.

3.5. Ethical Considerations

Participation should be voluntary and based on informed consent. Respondent confidentiality should be protected and unnecessary personally identifiable information should not be collected. The final manuscript should state the relevant institutional ethics approval or exemption where applicable.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Respondent Profile

The demonstration sample contains 453 cases, of whom 253 are female (55.85%) and 200 are male (44.15%).

4.2. Descriptive Statistics and Reliability

Table 3. Descriptive statistics and internal-consistency reliability (synthetic demonstration)

Construct	Mean	SD	Skew.	Kurt.	Cronbach α
Status Motivation	3.706	0.647	-0.116	-0.588	0.850
Self-Identity	3.674	0.638	-0.295	0.055	0.849
Emotional Gratification	3.748	0.611	-0.066	-0.397	0.844
Brand Prestige	3.804	0.616	-0.162	-0.327	0.837
Exclusivity	3.572	0.697	-0.173	-0.162	0.871
Purchase Intention	3.875	0.549	-0.073	-0.035	0.777

In the synthetic dataset, construct means range from 3.572 to 3.875 and Cronbach's alpha ranges from .777 to .871. These values indicate acceptable internal consistency within the generated demonstration data; they are not reliability estimates for the actual respondents.

4.3. Convergent-Validity Screening Diagnostic

Table 4. Component-Based Loading, Cr and Ave Diagnostics

Construct	Loading range	CR	AVE
Status Motivation	0.803–0.851	0.899	0.691
Self-Identity	0.824–0.839	0.899	0.691
Emotional Gratification	0.814–0.834	0.896	0.683
Brand Prestige	0.792–0.845	0.892	0.674
Exclusivity	0.841–0.858	0.913	0.723
Purchase Intention	0.756–0.797	0.857	0.600

The supplied analysis explicitly identifies these as PCA-based screening diagnostics rather than CFA estimates.

4.4. Pearson Correlations

Table 5. Pearson Correlation Matrix

Construct	SM	SI	EG	BP	EX	PI
SM	1.000	0.018	0.075	-0.034	0.099	0.277
SI	0.018	1.000	0.005	0.000	-0.051	0.204
EG	0.075	0.005	1.000	0.011	0.074	0.241
BP	-0.034	0.000	0.011	1.000	0.153	0.242
EX	0.099	-0.051	0.074	0.153	1.000	0.259
PI	0.277	0.204	0.241	0.242	0.259	1.000

Note: SM = Status Motivation; SI = Self-Identity; EG = Emotional Gratification; BP = Brand Prestige; EX = Exclusivity; PI = Purchase Intention.

4.5. Multicollinearity Assessment

Table 6. Variance Inflation Factors

Predictor	VIF
Status Motivation	1.018
Self-Identity	1.003
Emotional Gratification	1.010
Brand Prestige	1.027
Exclusivity	1.043

All VIF values in the synthetic dataset are approximately 1.00–1.04, indicating no multicollinearity concern within the demonstration.

4.6. Multiple Regression and Hypothesis Testing

The synthetic multiple-regression model is statistically significant, $F(5, 447) = 31.94$, $p < .001$, with $R^2 = .263$ and adjusted $R^2 = .255$. Thus, the five generated predictor scores jointly account for 26.3% of the variance in generated Purchase Intention scores.

Table 7. Hypothesis-Testing Results

Hyp.	Path	β	t	p	95% CI	Decision*
H1	Status Motivation → PI	0.245	5.994	<.001	[0.140, 0.276]	Supported
H2	Self-Identity → PI	0.209	5.129	<.001	[0.111, 0.248]	Supported
H3	Emotional Gratification → PI	0.204	5.011	<.001	[0.112, 0.256]	Supported
H4	Brand Prestige → PI	0.217	5.278	<.001	[0.121, 0.265]	Supported
H5	Exclusivity → PI	0.197	4.754	<.001	[0.091, 0.219]	Supported

* “Supported” refers only to the generated demonstration dataset and is not an empirical conclusion about real high-income consumers.

4.7. Supplementary Gender Comparison

Table 8. Welch Gender Comparisons

Construct	Female Mean	Male Mean	t	p
Status Motivation	3.718	3.690	0.462	0.644
Self-Identity	3.633	3.725	-1.531	0.126
Emotional Gratification	3.712	3.794	-1.402	0.162
Brand Prestige	3.798	3.811	-0.220	0.826
Exclusivity	3.567	3.579	-0.173	0.862
Purchase Intention	3.865	3.889	-0.467	0.641

No statistically significant gender differences are observed in the generated demonstration data (all $p > .05$).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Interpretation Of The Demonstration Results

The synthetic analysis produces positive unique regression coefficients for all five psychological dimensions. Within the generated dataset, Status Motivation has the largest standardized coefficient ($\beta = .245$), followed by Brand Prestige ($\beta = .217$), Self-Identity ($\beta = .209$), Emotional Gratification ($\beta = .204$), and Exclusivity ($\beta = .197$).

5.2. Theoretical Contribution

The proposed framework integrates status-signalling, self-congruity and hedonic-consumption perspectives within a single luxury-automobile purchase-intention model. It provides a structured way to test whether symbolic and affective motives explain meaningful variation in purchase intention among consumers with substantial purchasing capacity.

5.3. Managerial Implications

The practical implications should ultimately be based on the observed data. If the actual analysis identifies statistically meaningful status effects, prestige-oriented positioning may be relevant; if self-identity or emotional gratification is more strongly supported, personalised brand narratives and ownership experiences may warrant greater emphasis. These are conditional implications rather than conclusions from the synthetic analysis.

5.4. Limitations

The cross-sectional design limits causal inference, while purposive or other non-probability sampling may constrain generalisability. Self-reported purchase intention is not equivalent to observed purchasing behaviour and may be affected by social desirability. The final manuscript should also report the exact operational definition of high income, geographic coverage, brands considered, and prior luxury-car ownership. Most importantly, the present numerical results are synthetic and cannot substitute for analysis of the actual questionnaire responses.

6. CONCLUSION

The study provides a structured quantitative framework for examining psychological motivations behind luxury car purchase intention among high-income consumers. It identifies status motivation, self-identity/self-expression, emotional gratification, brand prestige/brand equity and exclusivity as theoretically relevant predictors and specifies a 453-respondent survey design.

The accompanying statistical demonstration shows how descriptive statistics, reliability, validity diagnostics, correlations, VIF, regression and gender comparisons can be reported in a GRRBE-style manuscript. However, because the numerical results were generated from dummy data, they should be regarded only as an analysis template. The substantive empirical contribution of the study can be established only after the actual 453-response dataset is analysed and the demonstration values are replaced.

Future research should use the observed questionnaire data to test the proposed hypotheses, conduct a genuine CFA/SEM analysis where appropriate, examine measurement validity, and assess whether the psychological mechanisms vary across demographic or cultural groups.

REFERENCES

1. N. Rafique, S. uz Zaman, and S. Alam, Luxury purchase intentions and the interplay of psychological and social factors, 2025.
2. I. Becheur, E. Atallah, and O. Bayarassou, Personal and social motivations of second hand luxury consumption among millennials, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijcrrm.367725>.

3. A. Zuo, Research on excessive consumption of luxury goods by the “Generation Z”, 2024.
4. T. Pan, Status seeking and luxury consumption: The mediating roles of brand identity and social value, and the moderating effect of price sensitivity, 2024.
5. V. Vimalnath, G. Phatak, P. Hongal, V. K. R, V. Agarwal, and G. R. Naik, Factors influencing consumer purchasing behavior regarding luxury brands, 2024.
6. S. Najafi, M. ZakiPour, and M. Amiri Majd, Identification and presentation of a self-discrepancy model based on individual differences and its impact on luxury goods consumers’ behavior, 2024.
7. N. Al-Issa, N. Dens, I. Moons, and O. Ali, Predicting luxury purchases: A new comprehensive framework and research roadmap, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2285815>.
8. S. Yu, A qualitative study of luxury consumption by Chinese overseas students, 2024.
9. G. Bodaghi, H. Vazifehdust, and K. Hamdi, Identifying the factors influencing consumer behavior in purchasing luxury brands: A mixed-methods research approach, 2025.
10. V. T. Hien, V. H. Thong, and T. H. Yen, Applying the extended theory of planned behavior model in studying luxury fashion products’ purchase intention of Vietnamese consumers, 2024.
11. R. Andriana, T. Handriana, and C. L. Dunstan, Purchase intention in luxury food: The role of materialism, social comparison, and bandwagon effect, 2024.
12. Y. Chen, A. Khatibi, and J. Tham, Research on marketing strategies of luxury used cars in Hangzhou based on consumers’ purchasing intention, 2024.
13. H. Oe, Y. Yamaoka, and Y. Liang, Luxury values and the perceptions of Japanese female shoppers: An evaluation based on the social cognitive theory perspective, 2024.
14. H. G. Nguyen, V. T. Pham, D. T. Nguyen, and B. N. Le, Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences, 2025.
15. R. M. Bakar, N. Fauziyah, and A. Rahmat, Do consumers perceive impulsive buying and pain of payment? E-commerce transactions using pay later, e-wallet, and cash-on-delivery, 2025.
16. I. C. Tresna, I. Usman, T. Siswono, and N. Rachmah, Fear of missing out (FOMO) in consumer behaviour: A systematic literature review on antecedents, consequences, and moderating factors, 2025.
17. S. A. Kim and S. F. C. Yap, Psychological mechanisms behind the influence of immersive technologies on consumer well-being, 2025.
18. S. D. M. P. and B. N. F. Warnakulasooriya, The influence of gratifications related to user-generated content on the purchase intention of clothing of young consumers in Sri Lanka, 2025.
19. H. Hammad and N. El-Bassiouny, Conspicuous compensatory consumption: Scale development and initial validation, 2024.
20. S. Huang, The influence of emotion and cognition on purchase intention or purchase behavior, 2024.
21. A. Diamantopoulos, M. Matarazzo, M. Mrad, and M. Maack, Consumer xenocentrism and well-being: Conspicuous consumption orientation, brand addiction, and self-esteem, 2024.
22. L. Nunes, Impact of brand image on consumer buying behavior towards luxury automobile segment, International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Management, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2024.0037>.
23. M. Feyzollahi, P.-O. Pineau, and N. Rafizadeh, Drivers of driving: A review, Sustainability, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16062479>.
24. M. Huang, Economic inequality: Impacts on mental health and social structures, 2024.
25. D. Theocharis and G. Tsekouropoulos, Sustainable consumption and branding for Gen Z: How brand dimensions influence consumer behavior and adoption of newly launched technological products, Sustainability, 17, 2025, 4124. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17094124>.
26. T. N. Akarsu, S. Shaikh, and M. Maity, Luxury value perceptions and consumer outcomes: A meta-analysis, Psychology & Marketing, 42, 2024, 193–213. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.22120>.
27. T. Islam, V. Arya, A. A. Bodla, R. Palladino, and A. Papa, Unmasking luxury consumption and its psychology: An experimental approach to understanding the motivations behind ethical and sustainable brand preferences, Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility, 34, 2024, 1341–1362. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12714>.
28. Y. Chen and J. Zhuang, Trend conformity behavior of luxury fashion products for Chinese consumers in the social media age: Drivers and underlying mechanisms, Behavioral Sciences, 14, 2024, 521. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14070521>.
29. D. Davlembayeva, S. Chari, and S. Papagiannidis, Virtual influencers in consumer behaviour: A social influence theory perspective, British Journal of Management, 36, 2024, 202–222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12839>.