Organisational Reforms for Responsive Administration: Path Towards Inclusive Governance for Disabled

[1]Dr. A. Chidambaram, [2]Dr. G. Madan Mohan,

[1]Associate Professor and Head, Centre for Study of Social Inclusion, Pondicherry University.
[2]Professor, Department of Management Studies, Pondicherry University.

ABSTRACT

Responsive public administration is key to ensuring social justice, especially for historically marginalized communities such as the disabled. This paper explores how organisational reforms can create a more inclusive, accountable and effective governance framework that responds to the specific needs of disabled. Drawing on international best practices and grounded in the principles of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), the study investigates current administrative structures, identifies institutional gaps and proposes a strategic framework for disability-inclusive reforms. Using qualitative methods including policy analysis and stakeholder interviews, the paper highlights the importance of institutional capacity, digital accessibility and participatory mechanisms in shaping responsive governance. The findings contribute to the discourse on inclusive development by bridging the gap between disability rights and administrative reform.

Keywords: Disabled, Inclusive Governance, Organisational reforms, public administration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Receptive public administration system is imperative for warranting impartial, effectual and efficient governance. This system should encompass all sections of the society including the disabled. Nevertheless, the conventional administrative mechanism offers numerous impediments for disabled, hampering their opportunity of fully participating in the system. This warrants the importance of reforming the system such that disabled are also included. This paper shall attempt to throw light on the importance of reforming the public administration system such that the holistic governance mechanism is transformed as highly effectual, efficient, responsive, comprehensive and reasonable. This structure shall effectively address the essential requirements of disabled.

The prevalent mechanism of administration is scrutinised, areas of shortages in serving disabled shall be identified and suggestive measures to be implemented for including disabled in the mainstream shall be proposed. Hence, this study shall try to bridge the yawning distance of including disabled in the administrative setup such that the goal of inclusive development is accomplished.

2. RIGHTS-BASED APPROACH TO EMPOWER DISABLED

UNCRPD has propagated comprehensive participation of disabled in all walks of life which includes governance. India's Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act of 2016 bears mandatory provisions towards this endeavour. The Act propagates the inclusion of disabled in public administration without discrimination. Legislative agenda is absolutely perfect on paper. However, execution is the real problem. This warrants effectively reforming the administrative mechanism so that legislative requirements are effectively complied with.

Impediments in Administrative Mechanism
The following barriers in public administration impede the inclusion of disabled:
a. **Physical Inaccessibility:** Many government buildings and services are inaccessible to disabled, limiting their opportunities of equal participation.
b. **Lack of Disability Awareness:** Public servants often lack training in disability awareness. This results in them lacking awareness about needs of disabled, leading to unintentional discrimination and exclusion.
c. **Ineffective Policy Execution:** While policies providing for welfare of disabled are abundant, their execution is ineffective due to dearth in resources or political will.

Organisational Reforms for Inclusion
Radical reforms are needed to foster inclusion of disabled in the mainstream of the society. Lessons can be learn about effective reforms can be learnt from international experiences. This article takes a look at a few international legislations providing for inclusion of disabled:

a. **Accessible Canada Act:** This Canadian law obligates the country's departments to craft accessibility strategies, appoint disabled and include disabled representatives in devising strategies so that effective inclusive public service system may be created.

b. **Accessible India Campaign:** This Indian initiative aims at accomplishing accessibility of physical and digital environment and enhancing cognizance about building inclusive environment for disabled.

c. **Switzerland Disability Discrimination Act:** The Swiss legislative framework bans discrimination against disabled in public services. It makes it mandatory for providing reasonable accommodation for disabled.

Challenges in Execution
Even though there are many legislations mandating inclusion of disabled in the mainstream, their execution has not yielded desired results due to the following challenges:

a. **Resource Constraints:** Dearth in financial and human resources impede the effective execution of inclusive policies propagated by the legislations.

b. **Resistance to Change:** Institutional inertia and resistance from officials due to psychological apprehensions impedes the adoption of inclusive practices.

c. **Monitoring and Responsiveness:** Absence of an effective control mechanism to identify and rectify negative deviations in execution of inclusive policies is a significant obstacle.

Prior Literature on Administrative Reforms Benefitting Disabled
Larsen & Højsgaard (2021) analysed the impact of reforms in administration on employment opportunities of Danish disabled. The study exposed the positive influence of administrative modifications on delivering services to disabled including generating job opportunities.

Sakellariou et al. (2020) evaluated whether the South American Governmental COVID assistance was inclusive, engulfing the disabled. The authors have propagated a mechanism for assessing the efficacy of these measures and suggested measures to boost their efficacy.

Woźniak (2021) evaluated the efficacy of Poland's Public Administration reforms in serving the disabled in a better manner. The study gauged the effect of such reforms and also provided valuable insights about ways and means of improving the efficacy of such measures.

Vornholt et al. (2024) scrutinised the facilitative conditions which may be worked out for inclusion of disabled in workplaces. They have highlighted important blockades in this process and have propagated strategies and plans of actions which can result in the formulation of effectual organisational policies to include disabled in workplaces.

Werren et al. (2025) examined the problems involved in transforming the Swiss digital public services as accessible for disabled. They have propagated the arrival of an effectual inclusive public administration mechanism which engulfs Web Content Accessibility Guidelines and Project Management Methodologies such that digital inclusiveness is augmented.

DeHart et al. (2023) investigated the effect of administrative framework on including disabled in local administrative framework. They have thrown light on bureaucracy limiting Public Administration getting transformed as more inclusive and diversified, engulfing the marginalised sections of the society.

Schmermbeck et al. (2024) put forth the idea of integrating all sections of the society comprising of disabled, researchers, students and organisations in the task of designing technology for assisting disabled. Once the society is inculcated with awareness about disabled, the task of bringing about innovative and effective assistive technologies for disabled can be easily accomplished.

Fortuito et al. (2016) explored the utilisation of organisational modeling in boosting the effectiveness of delivering public services. The study has stressed upon the essence of including social determinants and role dependencies in the process of delivering services to boost their effectiveness and receptiveness.

Kovynyov et al. (2021) investigated the factors driving agility in administration and possible executory measures to accomplish effective transformation. They offer effective mechanism of integrating agility with Public Administration such that its adaptableness and receptiveness is augmented.

Schur et al. (2013) investigated the outlook of recruiters and firms in employing disabled. The study has advocated effective action plans such as effective training and development, good accommodation facilities and favourable HR rules and procedures to accomplish diversity and inclusion in workforce.

Balcazar et al. (2018) explored reforming the society and business establishments to boost job openings for disabled. They advocated suitable modifications in the system and culture of organisations, crafting effective strategies and action plans and collaborative approach of different stakeholders for effective employability of disabled.

Shier et al. (2014) also analysed impediments in Public Administration hindering job openings for disabled. The study advocated suitable modifications in Public Administration through effective reforming the system focussing on suitable modification of organisational philosophy, enforcing guidelines and procedures and providing for accessibility and diversity in workforce.

Sjöström and Källström (2020) highlights the importance of augmenting the potentials of Swedish Public Administration such that disabled are included in workforce. The study advocates offering effective training and development mechanism, suitably integrating strategies and action plans and collaboration among different administrative sectors for effectively offering dignified livelihood opportunities for disabled.

Mitra et al. (2014) attempted to highlight possibly reforming the administrative setup and crafting and execution of effective strategies for boosting job openings for disabled Indians. The study has highlighted the essence of targeted public administration reforms and inclusive employment programmes to offer more job openings for disabled.

Fontanilla-Pimentel (2020) investigated the operation of "Persons with Disabilities Affairs Office" (PDAO) in Philippines and recognised the impediments in the crafting of action strategies and passage of local enactments and legislations providing for accessibility and diversity in workforce which shall result in the empowerment of disabled and participate in the nation building process.

Bachfischer et al.(2023) studied the concept of "Community-based Inclusive Development" for the Latin American disabled. The study has offered a good insight of experiences about implementation of diversity and inclusion of disabled.

Shaw (2022) scrutinised the prevalent practices for including disabled in workforce and expresses apprehension about the effectiveness of the current practices in providing job openings for disabled. The study advocates serious revolutionists to execute drastic changes in the system to enable the participation of disabled in workplace and confront challenges therein.

Retief and Letšosa (2020) examined the practice of providing maintenance grants for South African disabled by the "South African Social Security Agency". The study highlighted that the system verified whether the applicant has not been benefitted by such grant in the past but does not check the authenticity of the applicant in terms of genuineness of disability or economic situation. Interviewing the applicants revealed that they apply for the grant not due to their disability but due to joblessness and poverty. This implies that the disability grant is not serving its actual purpose and raises doubts about the efficacy of the administration. It has been suggested that adequate training be imparted to officials to ensure that the grants reach the true beneficiaries by assessing their actual disability and socio-economic plight before sanctioning the grant.

An insight of these studies provide a strong basis for integration of disability in Public Administration through effective reforms. These insights can serve as a solid foundation for researching theoretic and real-world facets of responsive governance including disabled.

Research Questions
An elaborate outlook of the reviewed literature results in the formulation of the following research questions:
1. What are the challenges confronted by the present administration mechanism in catering to the needs of disabled?
2. How can reforming the governance mechanism augment the receptiveness of administration machinery in including disabled?
3. What machineries should be crafted and executed in Public Administration such that inclusiveness is ensured in governance?
4. What should be done to ensure that Public Administration align with the philosophies and ideologies of UNCRPD?

Objectives of the Study

IN alignment with the aforesaid research questions, this study shall try to address the following objectives:

1. Compare the legislative framework available in India, Australia and Sweden for inclusion of disabled in the mainstream;
2. To take a look at the challenges in executing policies for the welfare of disabled;
3. To develop a possible framework which shall be effective in ensuring that all policy initiatives towards serving disabled are materialising in toto.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research is qualitative and exploratory in nature. It tries to throw light on how responsiveness of Public Administration in serving disabled can be fostered by organisational reforms. The study attempts to analyse policy frameworks and practical implementations prevalent in different systems of administration. A comparative case study approach has been employed. Reforms in administration by different countries to accommodate requirements of disabled have been discussed. Three countries of Australia, India and Sweden have been selected depending upon their judicial exertions, execution upshot and data availability.

Comparative study of India's Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwDs) Act of 2016, Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme and Inclusive Administrative Reforms and the Swedish Decentralised and Inclusive Welfare System has been attempted. These three cases are unique among themselves in the executory mechanism, guidelines and phases of inclusion of disabled. Relevant data have been collected from the national legislations portals (RPwD Act, NDIS Act and UNCRPD reports). Comparative Analysis has been attempted to systematically compare reform outcomes of the three cases and draw meaningful and effective lessons of serving disabled. Further, un-structured interviews have been conducted to bring out suitable suggestions to strengthen the disability-welfare measures in India.

4. FINDINGS

India's RPwDs Act of 2016: RPwDs Act was enacted marking a paramount move of India's policy framework from medical/welfare/charitable approach to rights-based model in alignment with UNCRPD. The Act contains elaborate provisions and sweeping reforms cutting across all fields, to provide for inclusion of disabled in all walks of life.

Organisational Mechanism: Following mechanism has been provided for effective execution of the Act:

- Mandatory appointment of Nodal and Grievance Redressal Officers in all governmental departments for ensuring effective compliance of the provisions;
- Institution of District-Level Committees, adequately represented by disabled and their organisations;
- Conduct of accessibility audits and inclusive infrastructural retrofitting in all government and public-used premises;
- Enforcement of reservation for disabled in appointments of all Government and Government-aided organisations.

Challenges of Execution: The following limitations have been encountered in the implementation of India's RPwDs Act of 2016:

- Sluggish pace of implementation at the state and grassroot level;
- scientific data is not available to gauge the outcome of disability welfare efforts;
- Administration officials are not provided adequate training and sensitisation about disabled and disability-related issues.

Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS): NDIS was instituted through the enactment of NDIS Act of 2013. The NDIS serves as the overall mechanism in Australia for providing services for disabled, offering them with desirable choice, control and personalisation.

Organisational Mechanism: Following setup has been provided for execution of the scheme:

- National Disability Insurance Agency (NDIA) shall supervise the scheme deliverables;
- The scheme has adopted Decentralized Planning Model wherein accessibility of its benefits for disabled is ensured through local area coordinators;
- Sufficient investments shall be made on ensuring digital accessibility to rationalise accessibility of services and providing feedback;
- Collaborated and consorted efforts of different sectors of education, housing, employment and health.

Strengths of NDIS: The scheme has established a robust monitoring and assessment machinery, resulting in its effective execution along with accountability. Most importantly, strong weightage is accorded to the voices of disabled and their organisations.

Challenges: The following problems have been encountered while executing the NDIS:
- Complicated bureaucratic process encountered by some participants;
- Dearth in allotment of resources to rural areas.

Swedish Integrative, Localized Governance for Disability Services
Organisational Framework: Administered by the Disability Discrimination Act and Local Governance Model of Sweden, the disability services are effectively decentralised according absolute independence for the local Municipality Governments to deliver all types of social activities including those benefitting disabled. A brief outlook of the organisational mechanism in force for implementing the services is given as under:
- Integrating services for disabled as a part of the usual health and welfare deliverables;
- Municipal Disability Coordinators shall be the liaisons amid government and public;
- Universal designing of public infrastructure and services is emphasized;
- Vigorous opportunities for generating employment for disabled to foster independent living for them by crafting and executing programmes involving all departments.

Strengths of the Programme
- Immense weightage is accorded on inclusion and community-based support;
- Prevalence of good public cognizance about disability and implementation of effectively institutionalized accessibility standards;
- Periodic evaluation by independent ombudsmen and local audits.

Challenges of the Programme
- Quality of services vary among different municipalities;
- Prevalence of conflict between national standards and localised implementation.

Inferences and Discussion
This study has attempted to make a comparison of Indian, Swedish and Australian cases of providing services to disabled. The following important points about reforming Public Administration for betterment of services for disabled have emerged:

1. **Statutory Frameworks Catalysing Change:** All the three nations have decreed effective legislative framework for fostering inclusive environment to involve disabled. India's 2016 RPwDs Act has mandated accessible infrastructure, impartial treatment of disabled and sweeping reforms in all Government departments to provide effective services for disabled.

Australia's NDIS Act of 2013 incorporated the NDIA and provided for decentralisation of delivering services to disabled, placing due emphasis on individualised choices and preferences.

The Swedish Disability Discrimination Act has provided for strict prohibition of discriminatory public services and availability of judicious accommodation for disabled. The legislation has provided for integration of disabled welfare with the general societal welfare.

Judicial infrastructure established in these countries have laid the foundation for the initiation of suitable reforms in Public Administration for mandating accessibility, inclusiveness, accountability and responsiveness.

2. **Infrastructural support and Capacity Building:** Execution of disability welfare measures warrants the presence of an effectual infrastructural mechanism and capacity building.

India has appointed Nodal Officers for disabled in all departments and District-Level Committees, duly represented by disabled and their organisations. This setup is aimed at complying to regulations spelt out in RPwDs Act with the participation of disabled.

Australia's NDIA operates on the facet of decentralisation wherein local area coordinators personally confirm the effective delivery of services to disabled with active participation of the society as well.

Swedish Government has instituted Municipality Disability Coordinators who shall serve as liaison amid Government and public. They shall ensure the delivery of services to disabled which are fairly accessible, catering to their personalised needs.

This organisational framework make sure that services are offered for the wellbeing of disabled and these services are executed fairly, catering to their personalised needs.

3. Citizen Participation and Accountability: A responsive governance should offer opportunities to disabled for participating in decision-making process. IN India, disabled and their organisations participate in the process of formulating and monitoring policies and regulations. This results in disabled taking part in administrative decisions. Australia's NDIS is fully based on authority to the disabled to choose their service and exercise adequate control over the mechanism. This leads to the disabled having control over the services provided which fosters feeling of ownership and responsibility. Sweden has the system of Community-based coordination of all services for disabled which are periodically evaluated by autonomous ombudsmen. This promotes transparency and responsiveness by way of giving importance to feedback from the public.

These methods involving active participation from public in general and the disabled in particular, are likely to result in empowerment of disabled while the acceptability and efficacy of Public Administration shall also be augmented.

4. Challenges and Barriers: Despite suitably reforming the administrative structure, many challenges do persist, some of them are as follows:

- **Implementation Gaps:** Disability policies are executed in a very slow pace at state and district levels. Further, lack of proper data about disability is a major impediment in planning and execution of disability-oriented programmes and deliverables;
- **Service Accessibility:** Australia's complicated bureaucratic procedures and limited resource allotment to rural parts of the nation constrain delivery of disability-welfare services in an equitable and just manner.
- **Local Variability:** Swedish Municipalities differ in devising their planning and execution. Quality of disabled-wellbeing services also differ among the Municipalities. Hence, there is no consistency in services delivered to disabled.

These challenges pose a serious threat to the effectiveness of services delivered for the benefit of disabled. They have to be immediately addressed. This requires spontaneous and effective monitoring, allotting sufficient resources and passionate and committed approach to include wellbeing of disabled at all levels of government.

Policy Recommendations: Having discussed the findings, next step is to take a look at some suggestions to boost the quality of governance inclusive of disability:

1. **Strengthen Institutional Mechanisms:** Process of execution of government policies for disabled have to be supervised effectively. To facilitate this, an exclusive focal point should be established in all the government departments. They should strictly monitor and supervise the execution of all policies aimed at inclusion of disabled. Importantly, these units should be fixed responsiveness to ensure that inclusiveness is accomplished in their respective departments within a specific timeline. Further, disabled representatives should be appointed as an integral part of all agencies, boards or committees such as Parent-Teachers Associations, Block level committees for identification of Below Poverty Line beneficiaries under AAY Anthodaya Anna Yojna, District Level Committees on transport and accessibility, Accessibility committees in temples, Welfare and Advisory boards, Disaster Management Committee, Posts Identification committee, Central and State fund for disability and the Governing board of National Handicapped Finance Development Corporation (NHFDC); 5% of the seats in Local bodies, State Legislature and Central Parliament should be reserved exclusively for disabled and these constituencies should be listed as reserved for disabled; the initiative of Tamilnadu Government, providing reservation for disabled in Local Bodies, should be taken as a nodal effort and participation of disabled should be ensured in the pillars of democracy namely, Judiciary, Executive and Bureaucracy. Educational institutions should be sensitised such that some academic projects are oriented towards disability and evolving economical and effective assistive devices for disabled.
2. **Enhance Capacity Building:** Periodic programmes should be organised with the participation of disabled, to inculcate awareness and sensitisation about disability among public servants. Civil servants, political leaders and Government representatives should be made well aware about inclusive practices and rights-based approach of rehabilitating disabled.
3. **Promote Accessibility:** Accessibility is the backbone for inclusion. Physical and digital infrastructure should be made accessible for all types of disabled. Since digitalisation is the need of the hour, digital accessibility is absolutely essential for delivering services to disabled, disseminating information about disability-welfare programmes as well as arranging for feedback. Accessibility Committees should be operational in all Government and private organisations with adequate inclusion of disabled or their organisations. Educational institutions, Offices, public rest rooms and Transport places comprising of

bus stands, railway stations and aerograms should be accessible. Periodic accessibility audit should be conducted and the report should be discussed seriously to arrive at Action Taken Report which should be tabled along with the subsequent year's audit report. Building plan approval should be given only if it is accessible and disabled should form part of the approval granting authority.

4. **Foster Public Engagement:** Disabled and their organisations should form part of decision-making forums such that they participate in the whole process of crafting policies for the welfare of disabled, chalk out strategies for their execution, oversee the implementation and also assess the progress of such policies.

5. **Ensure Resource Allocation:** Any policy cannot be executed without adequate financial and manpower support. It should be ensured that adequate budgetary support is made available for all policies aimed at benefitting disabled. Disabled and their organisations should be made part of the committees overseeing the manner in which the funds are spent so that limited resources are rationally utilised for the utmost benefit of disabled.

5. CONCLUSION

This study has exposed the disability-welfare initiatives of the three nations of India, Australia and Sweden. Each of these nations have executed policies in line with socio-economic and political scenarios. However, there are some commonalities in these initiatives. Mandatory legislative framework has been established in these countries with the enactment of Acts of Parliament to provide for the welfare of disabled. These nations have build a strong organisational setup to enforce and supervise the policies for disabled. Finally, they have provided sufficient opportunities for the stakeholders themselves to participate in the process of rehabilitating the disabled, reiterating the concept of "Nothing for us without us".

Despite of these positives, some limitations do exist such as slow pace of execution, insufficient financial and human resources and inconsistent delivery of services.

Effective participation of disabled in the mainstream requires drastic reforms in the Public Administration. Many suggestions have been made in this regard. Implementation of these warrant sufficient allotment of resources, persistent capacity building and sustained and determined political will.

To conclude, reforms are imperative in developing a responsive administration which ensures compliance of legislative framework and takes up the task of promoting the rights and inclusiveness of disabled. Taking good practices in other countries and localising them to suit domestic conditions can result in the institution of an effectual and inclusive public administrations in India.

REFERENCES

1. Accessible India Campaign. (n.d.). Accessible India Campaign. Wikipedia. Retrieved June 10, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Accessible_India_Campaign
2. Australian Government. (2013). National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013. Federal Register of Legislation. Retrieved from https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2013A00020 on 10th of June, 2025
3. Bachfischer, A., Schwienhorst-Stich, E.-M., Simmenroth, A., Parisi, S., & Barbosa, M. C. (2023). Implementing community-based inclusive development for people with disability in Latin America: A mixed methods perspective on prioritized needs and lessons learned. International Journal for Equity in Health, 22, 1–15.
4. Balcazar, F. E., Suarez-Balcazar, Y., & Taylor-Ritzler, T. (2018). Organizational and community factors influencing employment for people with disabilities: A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation, 40(10), 1132–1143. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2016.1243191
5. DeHart-Davis, L., Dickerson, K., & Jiang, Z. (2023). Does bureaucracy diminish inclusion? Evidence from a county government. Public Administration Review, 83(5), 1013–1025. https://doi.org/10.1177/00910260231187538
   i. Fontanilla-Pimentel, S. (2020). Improved access and participation for persons with disabilities in local governance. Policy & Governance Review, 4(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.30589/pgr.v4i1.278
6. Fortuito, A. M., Haque, F., Shabnam, L., Bhuiyan, M., Krishna, A., & Withana, C. (2016). Enhancing public service delivery through organisational modeling. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1606.03548
7. Government of India. (2016). The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016. Ministry of Law and Justice. Retrieved from https://legislative.gov.in/sites/default/files/A2016-49_1.pdf on 10th of June, 2025
8. Kovynyov, I., Buerck, A., & Mikut, R. (2021). Design of transformation initiatives implementing organisational agility: An empirical study. SN Business & Economics, 1(6), 79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-021-00073-6 export.arxiv.org+4colab.ws+4link.springer.com+4
9. Larsen, C. A., & Højsgaard, M. T. (2021). Organisational outputs of administrative reforms: Disability in Danish job centres. Journal of Social Policy. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279421000274cambridge.org+1cambridge.org+1

10. Mitra, S., & Sambamoorthi, U. (2014). Disability and employment in India: Evidence from National Sample Surveys. Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 24(4), 195–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/1044207314529707

a. Retief, F., & Letšosa, L. (2020). Transitioning to sustainable administrative gatekeeping in access to social grants for South African adult persons with disabilities. Sustainability, 13(7), 3597.

11. Sakellariou, D., Malfitano, A. P. S., & Rotarou, E. S. (2020). Disability inclusiveness of government responses to COVID-19 in South America: A framework analysis study. International Journal for Equity in Health, 19, 131. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-020-01244-xequityhealthj.biomedcentral.com+1link.springer.com+1

12. Schmermbeck, K., Ott, O., Ralfs, L., & Weidner, R. (2024). Fostering inclusion: A regional initiative uniting communities to co-design assistive technologies. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.12263

13. Schur, L., Kruse, D., & Blanck, P. (2013). People with disabilities: Employers' perspectives on recruitment and retention. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 38(2), 93–103. https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-130627

a. Shaw, J. (2022). Achieving disability inclusive employment – Are the current approaches deep enough? Journal of International Development, 34(6), 1027–1043. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.3692

14. Shier, M., Graham, J. R., & Jones, M. E. (2014). Barriers to employment as experienced by disabled people: A qualitative analysis in Calgary and Regina, Canada. Disability & Society, 29(3), 544–558. https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2013.860764

15. Sjöström, S., & Källström, J. (2020). Developing organizational capacity for disability inclusion in Swedish public administration. Public Administration Quarterly, 44(2), 283–312.

16. Skopellos, S. (2024). Changing perceptions about disability board membership. The Courier Mail. Retrieved from https://www.couriermail.com.au/news/northern-territory/sarah-skopellos-push-for-better-inclusiveness-on-disability-boards/news-story/c965741408b67a75134263c0ce8440ba

17. Swedish Parliament. (2008). Discrimination Act (2008:567). Government of Sweden. Retrieved from https://www.government.se/information-material/2008/07/sfs-2008567-discrimination-act/ on 10th of June, 2025

18. The Australian. (2024, February 19). Disability reform too slow, advocates warn. The Australian. Retrieved from https://www.theaustralian.com.au/nation/specialist-disability-schools-wont-be-phased-out-government-says/news-story/123d7ff0d56fa727b689c3e24f433941 on 10th June, 2025

19. Vornholt, K., Villotti, P., Muschalla, B., Bauer, J., Colella, A., Zijlstra, F., Van Ruitenbeek, G., Uitdewilligen, S., & Corbière, M. (2024). Organizational practices for the inclusion of people with disabilities: A scoping review. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-024-10228-5

20. Werren, S., Grieder, H., & Scherb, C. (2025). Towards an inclusive digital society: Digital accessibility framework for visually impaired citizens in Swiss public administration. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.09824

21. Woźniak, Z. (2021). Improvement of services for people with disabilities by public administration in Silesian Province, Poland. Sustainability, 13(2), 967. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020967